Autophagy and apoptosis: what is the connection?
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The therapeutic potential of autophagy for the treatment of cancer and other diseases is beset by paradoxes stemming from the complexity of the interactions between the apoptotic and autophagic machinery. The simplest question of how autophagy acts as both a protector and executioner of cell death remains the subject of substantial controversy. Elucidating the molecular interactions between the processes will help us understand how autophagy can modulate cell death, whether autophagy is truly a cell death mechanism, and how these functions are regulated. We suggest that, despite many connections between autophagy and apoptosis, a strong causal relationship wherein one process controls the other, has not been demonstrated adequately. Knowing when and how to modulate autophagy therapeutically depends on understanding these connections.

Introduction
Macroautophagy (hereafter autophagy) is an evolutionarily conserved catabolic process involving the formation of vesicles (autophagosomes) that engulf cellular macromolecules and organelles, leading to their breakdown, following fusion with lysosomes (Figure 1). Although autophagy was described over 50 years ago, only within the last 5–7 years have strong cell biological data elucidated the form and function of this ubiquitous process [1–4]. Autophagy is active in all cells, and can be upregulated in response to stress or nutrient deprivation. Induction of autophagy not only facilitates the degradation of damaged cellular components, but also provides the cell with molecular building blocks and energy. Autophagy is now known to play a role in many diverse disease processes, including cancer, neurodegeneration, aging, autoimmune diseases like Crohn’s disease and rheumatoid arthritis, heart disease and infection. At the level of both the organism and the cell, autophagy can, paradoxically, have pro-death or pro-survival functions depending on the context [5–7].

At the cellular level, the pro-survival functions of autophagy are the most well-defined wherein autophagy aids the cell in dealing with stress by clearing damaged proteins, organelles, pathogens or aggregates, or by providing the cell with energy and anabolic building blocks during starvation. Conversely, several recent studies have indicated that autophagy itself may be a mechanism of caspase- and apoptosis-independent cell death [8]. Figuring out exactly when and where these disparate functions of autophagy apply are key goals in the field. The role played by autophagy in cell death is of great interest to us and to others, because the potential ability of autophagy to modulate cell death makes it a therapeutic target (through either up or down-regulation) in several diseases, including cancer and neurodegeneration [10–13].

Autophagy and apoptosis
The connection between autophagy and apoptosis or other forms of cell death is a burgeoning area of research. The number of publications about autophagy is growing rapidly, and a great many of these papers are concerned with cell death in one context or another. The molecular connections between autophagy and cell death are multifaceted, complex and still poorly understood. Determining which interactions are important in the regulation of cell death by autophagy is crucial as we try to either protect cells we do not want to die (as in neurodegenerative diseases), or cause diseased cells to die (as in cancer treatment). Although it is generally accepted that autophagy functions as a mechanism to survive cellular stresses like nutrient deprivation, the molecular mechanisms underlying the regulation and specificity of autophagic degradation are just beginning to be understood. Moreover, although there are a multitude of published connections between the processes of autophagy and cell death (both apoptosis and necrosis), there are gaping holes in our understanding of even the most basic questions regarding the interactions between autophagy, cell death and disease. For example, despite many publications, our opinion is that it is not yet firmly established whether cancer cells can use autophagy to evade being killed by chemotherapy or radiation. Different publications sometimes come to completely opposite conclusions regarding similar treatments of cells [14–16]. Here, we try to identify some key areas of confusion regarding the connections between autophagy and apoptosis/cell death, and come up with ideas to solve these problems.

Linking autophagy and apoptosis
Broadly speaking, there are two ways that autophagy and apoptosis could be linked directly (Figures 2 and 3). First, the process of autophagy could control apoptosis by making it either more or less probable. Second, the process of apoptosis (i.e. activation of caspases, etc.) could control autophagy (either increasing or decreasing it). The general view is that autophagy is usually pro-survival; it allows cells to survive prolonged starvation and other stresses, infectious agents, and, as noted above, it is often suggested that autophagy can protect cells against treatment with agents like anti-cancer drugs that are intended to kill...
them. However, we would argue that just because autophagy can protect cells, it does not necessarily follow that this was because the autophagy machinery and apoptosis machinery are connected. For example, one of the primary functions of autophagy is to recycle damaged proteins, organelles and aggregates, thereby cleaning up the cell and providing it with new building blocks to replace damaged or depleted cellular components [3,4,17]. This mechanism can also provide a protective function during nutrient deprivation that does not necessarily require a direct connection between autophagy and the death machinery. If a cell is in the process of dying because it does not have enough amino acids, the fact that autophagy stops death does not necessarily mean that autophagy controls the apoptosis or necrosis machinery, but could just mean that autophagy provides the needed amino acids. However, as discussed below, a rapidly expanding number of direct molecular connections between autophagy and apoptosis open the possibility of a real causal link between the two processes (Table 1 and Figure 3).

Figure 1. Macroautophagy. The autophagic process degrades cellular macromolecules and organelles, releasing metabolites to provide the cell with energy and anabolic building blocks to aid in survival and repair during nutrient deprivation or cellular damage. The Ubiquitin-like conjugation cascade leads to the lipidation of LC3 and conjugation of Atg5-Atg12, two key elements in the formation of the phagophore. The autophagic process begins (autophagy induction) with formation of the phagophore, followed by its elongation and closure to form the autophagosome that then fuses with lysosomes, resulting in degradation of the contents (autophagic flux).

Figure 2. Apoptosis. Key proteins involved in the intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic pathways are depicted, focusing on the components with known links to autophagy mentioned in the text. The extrinsic pathway results from the binding of extracellular death ligands that transduce a signal resulting in the formation of the death-inducing signaling complex and activation of Caspase-8. This activation is potentiated by p62-mediated aggregation, leading to efficient activation of Caspase-8. Caspase-8 then activates mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization through the formation of Bax/Bad/Bak pores. This leads to the release of Cytochrome-C into the cytoplasm, the activation of the apoptosome and effector caspases (Caspase-3), and the release of SMAC (Diablo), a protein that disables inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (IAPs). Activated effector (executioner) caspases then cleave multiple targets, resulting in cell death.
There is also a substantial body of literature suggesting that under certain circumstances autophagy can promote death, and recent evidence indicates that autophagy itself may be a mechanism of cell death [5,8,9]. Autophagy may be able to kill a cell either by actively degrading necessary cellular components (e.g. catalase, mitochondria), or by non-selectively degrading cellular components to the point that the cell can no longer survive [9,18]. However, once again, it does not follow that these mechanisms mean that the process of autophagy is directly acting on the cell death machinery. Autophagy might degrade cellular components so that the cell eventually activates the apoptosis machinery, but this does not mean that the process of autophagy is directly responsible for activating apoptosis; the effect might be indirect.

Adding further confusion, most studies look for relationships between autophagy and apoptosis by asking if the overall amount of death is changed – i.e. does autophagy

**Figure 3.** Linking autophagy and apoptosis. Connections between the autophagic and apoptotic processes. (a) Atg5 activates DISC via an interaction with FADD. (b) FLIP inhibits Atg3-LC3 association, and therefore the induction of autophagy. (c) Atg12-Atg3 conjugation inhibits mitochondrial fission and apoptosis, independent of autophagy. (d) p62 promotes aggregation and activation of Caspase-8, which, paradoxically, is degraded by autophagy, probably through its interaction with p62. (e) Dap kinase phosphorylation of Beclin-1 promotes autophagy. (f) Bcl-2 interaction with Beclin-1 inhibits autophagy. (g) p53 can both promote and inhibit autophagy, depending on the context. (h) Autophagic cell death. Well-established links are depicted with solid lines, and less established links are shown with dashes. More information about each interaction is contained in Box 1 and the references therein, or in the text.

**Table 1.** Proteins with dual roles in autophagy and apoptosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAPK</td>
<td>Phosphorylates Beclin1; activates DISC</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIP</td>
<td>Activates cell death independent of caspases; may activate autophagic cell death</td>
<td>[9,45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-κB</td>
<td>Regulates survival pathways – inhibits apoptosis activates autophagy</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JNK</td>
<td>Positively regulates both apoptosis and autophagy</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p62</td>
<td>Crucial for activation of Caspase-8; regulates selective autophagy of many substrates</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beclin1</td>
<td>Primary cellular activator of autophagy; regulated by Bcl-2</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-2</td>
<td>Inhibits both apoptosis and autophagy by binding Beclin-1 and Bax/Bad/Bak</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspase-8</td>
<td>Activates apoptosis via extrinsic pathway; cleaves p62 during apoptosis</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspase-3</td>
<td>May cleave Beclin-1 to inhibit autophagy during terminal stages of apoptosis</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53</td>
<td>Induces MOMP in response to stress; positively and negatively regulates autophagy</td>
<td>[50–52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atg5</td>
<td>Crucial autophagy gene; activates apoptosis via FADD and MOMP upon calpain cleavage</td>
<td>[53–55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLIP</td>
<td>c-FLIP inhibits autophagy through inhibition of Atg3-LC3 conjugation</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atg12-Atg3</td>
<td>Novel regulator of mitochondria and apoptosis with no known function in autophagy</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^1]: For a full list of references, see the original article.
prevent death or cause death. However, the connections between autophagy and apoptosis might be more along the lines of changing the way that the cells die, as opposed to changing whether or not they die. For example, it was found that a targeted toxin kills glioma cells via a mechanism that does not involve caspase activation, and, when autophagy is inhibited this increases modestly the amount of death, but changes dramatically the mode of death by allowing the toxin to activate caspases [19]. These and other data show that autophagy can alter the way cells die, not just whether they die or not [20,21]. One complication of this is that, if the assay used to assess death is specific for a certain type of death (e.g. apoptosis), one can easily obtain a mistaken impression that there was a big effect on cell death, when, in fact, the total number of dead cells is not greatly affected by the manipulation of autophagy. How then might the autophagy and apoptosis machinery talk to each other, and do they do so?

**Mechanistic connections between apoptosis and autophagy**

Multiple direct and indirect interactions have been described, suggesting mechanistic overlap and interaction between the apoptosis machinery and autophagy proteins [6,13,22]. The majority of these interactions have been apoptosis-altering autophagy; less is known at the mechanistic level about how autophagy controls apoptosis. We concentrate here on two proteins that have multiple connections between autophagy and cell death, but there are other molecules with connections between the two processes that may also be crucial links.

Two autophagy proteins at the crux of autophagy–apoptosis interactions are p62, a protein that is important for Ras-induced tumorigenesis, and the tumor suppressor Beclin-1. p62 is a key player in the selective autophagic degradation of many proteins (and mitochondria), and is known to interact directly with several apoptotic and survival pathway proteins, including Caspase-8, TRAF6 (which modulates NF-κB survival pathways), and ERK [23–26]. The interaction between caspase-8 and p62 is particularly intriguing, because p62 is crucial for the efficient activation of caspase-8, but caspase-8 also cleaves p62 in response to death receptor activation [27,28]. Furthermore, caspase-8 has been shown recently to be degraded by autophagy (presumably via p62) [29]. This creates a paradigm where autophagy and apoptosis might be involved in a complicated balancing act wherein autophagy alters the extent and kinetics of apoptosis, and apoptosis alters the autophagic degradation of p62 and p62-dependent autophagic cargos, including caspase-8.

Beclin-1 is a crucial regulator of autophagy that directly interacts with the anti-apoptotic protein, Bcl-2 [30–33]. When Bcl-2 and Beclin-1 are bound, Beclin-1 is incapable of activating autophagy. Autophagy is induced by the release of Beclin-1 from Bcl-2 by pro-apoptotic BH3 proteins, Beclin-1 phosphorylation by DAP kinase (DAPK), or Bcl-2 phosphorylation by JNK [34,35]. Conversely, over-expression of Bcl-2 or Bcl-XL can inhibit autophagy [30,36,37]. Another Beclin-1-dependent mechanism by which apoptosis can inhibit autophagy is through caspase-3 cleavage of Beclin-1 to produce a truncated protein that is unable to promote autophagy, thus leading to the overall inhibition of autophagy [38]. Thus, Beclin-1 regulation by components of the apoptosis machinery can either promote or inhibit autophagy, perhaps depending on the relative activities of BH3 proteins and initiator and/or executioner caspases. These examples show that there can be mutual regulation of apoptosis and autophagy, so that when apoptosis is promoted, autophagy is reduced to provide a mechanism to ensure that autophagy is switched off when a cell “decides” to go through with apoptosis.

Other potential avenues by which autophagy may regulate apoptosis are through the active degradation of apoptotic proteins. It should be noted, however, that although autophagy is capable of specifically degrading components of the apoptotic machinery (e.g. Caspase-8, mitochondria), the significance of these events in terms of actually changing the amount of cell death in a physiologic or disease setting is unclear. For example, although sequestration and degradation of mitochondria in autophagosomes occurs, this happens to only some of the mitochondria in a cell [39]. Thus, an unanswered question is: even if autophagy increases, why would an apoptotic stimulus not still cause cytochrome c release from the other mitochondria in the cell to induce apoptosome formation and apoptosis? Perhaps by reducing the number of mitochondria, autophagy might alter the kinetics of cell death or reduce the threshold of pro-apoptotic activity necessary to induce apoptosis. Therefore, autophagy might control an apoptosis “threshold”, i.e. some kind of regulator that ultimately decides whether apoptosis should proceed or not. This, in turn, may provide a way to ensure the rapid and complete demise of the cell, to thus control the degree of apoptosis in the population of cells. One prediction (that has been untested so far) of this idea is that in a population of cells where some die and some do not die, the molecular mechanisms outlined above, like Beclin-1 or p62 cleavage, will only take place in those cells that actually die, and these will be the same cells that have lower levels of autophagy. Thus, although we have evidence of connections between the two processes, and it makes intuitive sense that such connections might exist, a clear mechanistic explanation of how autophagy can inhibit apoptosis for any defined apoptotic pathway is still lacking.

Adding confusion to an already complicated situation, just because a protein regulates autophagy and also affects how efficiently a cell can undergo apoptosis, this does not necessarily mean that it is the process of autophagy that affects apoptosis. A provocative recent example shows that a previously unknown conjugation of Atg12 to Atg3, which are both essential components of the autophagy ubiquitin-like conjugation machinery required for autophagosome formation, has an autophagy-independent function that can regulate apoptosis [40]. Atg3 mutants that cannot be conjugated to Atg12 inhibit apoptosis through the mitochondrial pathway, but this occurs in a manner independent of autophagy itself — inhibiting Atg12-Atg3 conjugation has no effect on starvation-induced autophagy (Atg12-Atg5 conjugation is required), and the effect on cell death is instead associated with the altered fragmentation of mitochondria. This intriguing finding shows that, although components of the autophagy machinery can control the apoptosis pathway, they may do so independently of autophagy, and also
leaves open the possibility of other unknown functions for proteins of the autophagy ligation process.

So, although we are starting to develop an understanding of how the components of the apoptosis and autophagy machineries can intersect, there is much that we do not know. It is important to continue studying these connections because of the potential clinical impact of manipulating these complicated pathways.

Clinical application
In addition to the importance of understanding the biology of autophagy, there is great interest in trying to manipulate autophagy in a clinical setting. For example, there are currently almost three dozen clinical trials in the U.S. attempting to block the cellular survival functions of autophagy in cancer treatment by combining chemotherapy with the autophagy inhibitor, hydroxchloroquine. The basis for these clinical trials is the simple premise that since autophagy protects damaged cells from dying, autophagy inhibition will make it more probable that damaged cancer cells die and the tumor will be eradicated [6,13,24,41]. However, if the function of autophagy in cancer cells is not to protect them from damage, but instead to promote cell death, then these clinical trials could be pointless or even harmful, unless we can find a way to predict in which patients pro-death or pro-survival functions of autophagy apply. Conversely, can we take advantage of an autophagy-dependent survival function in other diseases? For example, in neurodegenerative disease, mTOR inhibition leading to increased autophagy enhances the ability of cells to clear damaged or aggregated proteins or mitochondria, improving the health and functioning of neurons, and, in animal models of neurodegeneration, increasing autophagy can delay or prevent the onset of symptoms of neurological disease [11,12,42,43]. This has the potential to prevent, delay or cure several types of neurodegenerative disease, including Parkinson’s and Huntington’s disease, and ALS.

Conclusion
A better understanding of how autophagy and apoptosis are related (whether directly or indirectly) will probably be an important area of research in the cell death field in the coming years. The autophagy proteins p62 and Beclin1 are likely crucial molecular players, regulating – and being regulated by – a number of pro and anti-apoptotic molecules. Understanding the way that these proteins, and other components of the autophagy and apoptosis pathways, can tip the balance between survival and death is crucial to determining the utility of targeting autophagy in cancer treatment and other diseases. However, we need to answer some very basic questions before we can hope to apply this information in a useful manner (Box 1). The next few years will probably see these fundamental questions answered, as we understand at a molecular level the complex direct and indirect interactions between autophagy and apoptosis. Until we do so, we would argue that the jury should still be out regarding key questions about the relationship between autophagy and apoptosis – including perhaps the most fundamental one of all – whether or not the process of autophagy controls directly the process of apoptosis, or they merely share some common machinery. We believe that by continuing to probe these processes, and applying more specific drugs and genetic inhibitors, as well as newer, more accurate and less subjective assays to measure autophagy, we should be able to more definitively answer this question. If the answer is yes, we think this will help determine the full spectrum of molecular mechanisms that underlie the connections to provide a framework for more precise manipulation of these processes in a clinical setting.

Box 1. Outstanding questions

- Under what circumstances does autophagy control apoptosis? Are all apoptotic stimuli susceptible or only some? What about other death mechanisms, such as necrosis?
- If autophagy controls apoptosis, what steps in the apoptosis pathway are affected? Does autophagy control the release of mitochondrial proteins? Does autophagy control enzymatic activation of caspases? Are multiple steps in the apoptosis pathway affected?
- Does autophagy regulate apoptosis primarily by indirect means, for example, by merely controlling the level of macromolecular precursors, rather than directly controlling the apoptosis machinery?
- Are the apparent connections between autophagy and apoptosis because of the same proteins being used for different functions, as with the case of Atg12-Atg3?
- Does variation in the extent of autophagy within a population determine which cells in the population die or survive an apoptotic stimulus? Is this how cells determine whether they have breached the “apoptotic threshold”?
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